1	that means Board of Education President to ask our
2	representatives to talk to the FCC. We first need to
3	coordinate internally before we make major action.
4	Ernie was urging us to to send out
5	lobbyists or to get Congress people he was urging
6	us to make a big push on the FCC. Ernie had said to
7	me, "Nicole, I have heard back from Roy Stewart.
8	You'll recall" he goes on and on.
9	Q This e-mail from Ms. Wright, who was your
LO	supervisor at the district, that was sent by high
L1	importance, is that correct?
L2	A Exactly. That's what it says, yes. And
L3	actually, if you look at the very end of this, you
L4	will see that there is an e-mail that Ernie attached
15	from a Mr. Roy Stewart at FCC.gov, which was
ГĘ	Wednesday, the 28th, May '03. And it says, "The
L7	renewal for Station KALW(FM) is under active
18	consideration by the Commission. I expect Commission
19	action in the near future."
20	Q Okay.
21	A And then, we go to SFUSD Exhibit 28,
22	undated, from me to Ernie, cc to Bill Helgeson and
	1

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Jackie Wright, re KALW pending renewal. "Ernie, thank
2	you so much for your gracious offer. We'll take you
3	up on it. Definitely helps with the old bottom line.
4	Please pursue Commission Adelstein. He has a mug and
5	a totebag from KALW, so hopefully he'll remember.
6	Let's hope for a quick resolution."
7	As you can see, I had Mr. Sanchez had
8	decided not to charge us.
9	Q Now, was it your practice to copy Ms.
10	Wright on your correspondence with Mr. Sanchez?
11	A As far as I can remember, yes, absolutely.
12	Q And why is that?
13	A She was engaged in this as well. She had
14	concerns about it.
15	Q Okay.
16	A And then, we go to SFUSD Exhibit 29, and
17	that is dated July 23, 2002, and that's from Jackie to
18	me. I'm writing to her. I don't capitalize
19	everything, so that probably is shorthand for
20	that's more of a casual, just interpersonal e-mail
21	between me and Jackie from Ernie.
22	"After I placed a call last week, just

1	what we need a fine. Oh, well. Knew it was
2	coming. Perhaps Golden Gate Public Radio can pay for
3	it." I understand I was being sarcastic. "Yeah,
4	right." You can see I was being very sarcastic, and
5	that's in regard to something I had received from
6	Ernie.
7	"Here is the latest on the KALW renewal
8	saga, " and he describes a Michael Wagner, Peter Doyle,
9	a Jerome Robinson, back to Peter Doyle. "While the
10	movement was slow, it does seem the wheels are
11	turning. Please let me know your thinking."
12	Q Okay.
13	A We go to SFUSD Exhibit 30, and that's from
14	me to Ernie Sanchez. I cc Bill. There's no date.
15	"Hi, Ernie."
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. This is what,
17	30?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes. This is
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Go ahead.
20	THE WITNESS: "Hi, Ernie. Wondering if
21	you got my response to your last e-mail to me. You
22	mentioned the FCC was stumped about the EEO
l	

1	allegations, yet you distinctly told me that they were
2	thrown out as unsubstantiated, or some such legalese,
3	a while ago by the FCC. Also, that's what was in your
4	confidential report to Superintendent Ackerman, so why
5	were they so were they thrown out? He seemed to be
6	waffling on that?"
7	This is one of the conferences where I was
8	probably going to run into him, but I decided not to
9	go because I'm always busy. We don't have a huge
10	budget. And I advised him to please push before the
11	holiday break. Having been a Washingtonian for two
12	years, I do know that things shut down pretty well
13	around the holidays.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: What date is this memo?
15	THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, there is no
16	date.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have a best estimate
18	on it, in light of the fact that it's close to holiday
19	time?
20	THE WITNESS: Well, maybe December, or
21	maybe even prior to Thanksgiving.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Late in the year,

1	November/December?
2	THE WITNESS: Exactly.
3	BY MR. PRICE:
4	Q Now, in the interest of time, Ms. Sawaya,
5	there's a number of
6	A There's a number of them.
7	Q there's a long series of additional
8	e-mails between
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait just a second. What
10	year? November/December of what year?
11	THE WITNESS: I'm not really sure. I
12	would imagine, Your Honor, that since I didn't cc
13	Jackie Wright that maybe it was '03 or maybe or
14	maybe '04. I'm not quite sure.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sorry. I didn't mean to
16	interrupt you.
17	THE WITNESS: That's okay.
18	BY MR. PRICE:
19	Q Are these e-mails representative of the
20	types of correspondence you would have with Mr.
21	Sanchez following the May 24, 2001, report that the
22	Sanchez law firm prepared?

1	A They built up over time. Yes. They're
2	very representative of my growing frustration.
3	Q And did Ernie I'm sorry Mr. Sanchez
4	or Ms. Jenkins ever advise you of potential courses of
5	action you could take to speed this process along, or
6	that they could take?
7	A They did.
8	Q And what were those? What do you recall
9	their advice being?
10	A Well, there were two sets of advice. One
11	was that we get our Congress people to speak with the
12	Commissioners of the FCC. And the other set of
13	advice, when I was really I was really towards the
14	end of my I mean, I at that point I was really
15	being sarcastic. "Any news," you know, kind of
16	attitude.
17	Mr. Sanchez suggested that we leapfrog
18	over the FCC and take this to the U.S. Court of
19	Appeals.
20	Q And did you understand that that would be
21	a course of action likely to succeed?
22	A I was not confident.

1	Q Did you understand that that course of
2	action taken by the Sanchez law firm would be for
3	free.
4	A Absolutely not.
5	Q And what did you advise Mr. Sanchez in
6	response to the suggestion that one course of action
7	might be to file an appeal with the Court of Appeals?
8	A I was very vehement in my disagreement
9	with that. I felt that we should be able to while
10	this matter was of utmost urgency, that surely we
11	should be able to work this out through the regular
12	channels of the FCC. It was really over the top, and
13	in some ways I gave an over-the-top response back to
14	him.
15	Q Ultimately, the Sanchez law firm was fired
16	by the district, is that correct?
17	A That is correct.
18	Q Do you know when this was?
19	A That was before my deposition was taken.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Timeframe. Well, now, your
21	deposition was in September of 2004.
22	THE WITNESS: I believe it was July or

1	August, yes.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do I have that right?
3	MR. PRICE: Yes.
4	MR. SHOOK: Right. And there is in the
5	record I can't say it's in the record at this
6	point. It's part of the pleadings of this proceeding
7	in terms of withdrawal of appearance notice filed by
8	the Sanchez law firm.
9	MS. LEAVITT: In fact, we have
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's all right. I
11	don't want to make but it's sometime in or around
12	that timeframe, that that's how you referred to it.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: The Sanchez law firm was
15	let go shortly before you were deposed.
16	THE WITNESS: Well, leading up to the
17	deposition, because there was a lot of work that we
18	were doing leading up to the deposition.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right, right, right. And
20	you said here that in Number 31, you say that
21	Jackie Wright's position was eliminated due to
22	budgets. And, again, there's no date on that memo.

1	But can you clue in an on or about date for that, do
2	you think, or at least a month and a year?
3	THE WITNESS: 2003.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, when did she
5	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: go? When was her job
7	eliminated?
8	THE WITNESS: I'm not exactly sure. I
9	know that they they eliminated the job, but then
10	they asked her to stay on a few more months. So I'm
11	thinking in the fall of 2003.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
13	MR. PRICE: I don't mean to cut you off,
14	but
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, that's okay.
16	MR. PRICE: the witness' recollection
17	may be refreshed by referring to Enforcement Bureau
18	Exhibit Number 40.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
20	BY MR. PRICE:
21	Q Do you have Enforcement Bureau Exhibit
22	Number 40 in front of you?

1	A I do.
2	Q And if you that document, for the
3	record, is SFUSD's objections and responses to
4	Enforcement Bureau's interrogatories.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right. I've got the
6	document.
7	BY MR. PRICE:
8	Q And if you turn to page
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: What date was that filed
10	or
11	MR. PRICE: I see a received date, but let
12	me find the file date. The file date is September 17,
13	2004.
14	BY MR. PRICE:
15	Q And if you turn to page 3 of that document
16	I'm sorry, on page 4, question number 3, it asks,
17	"Identify all persons who are or were employed in a
18	paid position to manage the station during the period
19	January 1, '91, to the present, and provide the title
20	and a brief description of the duties of each person
21	identified and state the duration of his or her
22	employment."

1	It then goes on the answer goes on,
2	"First, identify the general managers." But then, if
3	you turn the page to page 5 of the document you'll see
4	the SFUSD official responsible for aspects of the
5	management of the station." Do you see that?
6	A I do.
7	Q Does that refresh your recollection as to
8	the timeline of when Ms. Wright was at the district?
9	A It does. I got the year right, but I
10	wasn't on point with the month.
11	Q Now, focusing on this answer, it appears
12	as though when Ms. Wright's position was eliminated,
13	or when Ms. Wright left in May of 2003, she was
14	immediately followed by Ms. Ho, is that correct?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q And then, at a certain point in September
17	a certain point, September of 2004, it looks like
18	Myong Lei also became a direct contact for you with
19	the district, is that correct?
20	A Yes, that's correct.
21	Q Do you know any of these individuals
22	identified in the period preceding January of 2001

1	Mr. Arkur Varadajaran? I'm probably not doing a good
2	job pronouncing his name. Glenston Thompson, Bob
3	Harrington, Ruben Boucher, Enrique Palacios, or Linda
4	Davis?
5	A I met with Glenston Thompson. He was the
6	official that I had my first interview with after I
7	had applied for the job.
8	Q Do you have an understanding as to what
9	role these individuals and by "these individuals"
10	I'm referring to those that begin with Mr. Varadajaran
11	do you have any do you have an understanding as
12	to what role they played with respect to the station
13	and the district?
14	A Not really, no.
15	Q Can you determine from their titles or
16	what role they may have played between the station and
17	the district?
18	A Well, you see a couple of special
19	assistants to the superintendent, which was, for
20	example, Enrique Palacios. And I would imagine he was
21	a direct conduit from the station to the then
22	Superintendent.

1	I see Linda Davis. She is Deputy
2	Superintendent, so she was obviously a direct conduit.
3	A Mr. Harrington he was an Assistant
4	Superintendent, so likewise he must have been very
5	close to the then that was not Dr. Ackerman at the
6	time.
7	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, is there any point
8	to this in terms of
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm not sure.
10	MR. SHOOK: Ms. Sawaya wasn't even there.
11	MR. PRICE: I just wanted to see if
12	MR. SHOOK: She doesn't know these people.
13	MR. PRICE: I just wanted to know if Ms.
14	Sawaya had any understanding as to what role these
15	folks played in serving as a conduit between the
16	station and the district, and I think she has
17	testified to the extent of her knowledge.
18	BY MR. PRICE:
19	Q Is that your testimony, you don't know?
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm not so sure that it's
21	been established that they were in fact a conduit,
22	based on her testimony up until this time.

1	THE WITNESS: I would assume that they
2	were the touch point for the station to the district.
3	BY MR. PRICE:
4	Q Well, you weren't at the station at the
5	time, correct?
6	A No, I was not. That is correct.
7	Q But Ms. Wright, and then Ms. Ho and Ms
8	and Mr. Lei, they were your conduits, correct? Your
9	contacts to the district, correct?
LO	A That is correct.
11	Q All right. You've been at the station now
12	a little bit over four years, is that correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q And since the initial flurry of activity
15	with the LOI response in March, have there been any
16	other significant legal activities at the station?
17	A None.
18	Q Has the station been subject to any other
19	notices of violation, notices of apparent liability,
20	or forfeiture orders for violation of FCC rules?
21	A None.
22	Q Have you instituted any programming

1	changes since your tenure began at this station in
2	March of 2001?
3	A Yes, I have.
4	Q Can you please briefly turn to SFUSD
5	Exhibit 47, the full version of the document?
6	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, before we go on
7	with this line, it strikes me that we are going way
8	beyond the cross examination that the Bureau
9	conducted. And if somehow this is connected with that
10	cross, I'd be perfectly happy to sit back and listen.
11	But if we're talking about something that
12	is totally foreign to what the Bureau covered in
13	cross, I don't see how we can cover this now.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you talking about 47?
15	MR. SHOOK: Yes, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, okay. So you've got
17	yes. What does it relate to? I mean, how is it
18	relevant to cross?
19	MR. PRICE: There were questions asked of
20	Ms. Sawaya about programming at the station by Ms.
21	Leavitt. If you'll recall, her first her first
22	several lines of questioning related to Ms. Sawaya's

1	knowledge about programming at the station.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, that's true.
3	MR. PRICE: And so I want to ask her about
4	some of the programming at the station and the changes
5	she may have made.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: There was that line of
7	questioning in the beginning of cross.
8	MR. SHOOK: Right. As I understood that
9	line of questioning, it was trying to determine what
LO	Ms. Sawaya knew about the station's programming before
L1	she arrived.
L2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't see where
L3	this does I don't see where this does any harm to
L4	the ball park. I'll permit a bit of this. I'll
L5	permit a bit of this. You've got a point, Mr. Shook.
L6	I'm not
L7	MR. PRICE: And recognizing that point,
L8	Your Honor, one of the things I would like to I
19	want to focus on is the line of inquiry related to the
20	relationship between the school district and the
21	station and the programming and the work that's done

1	That's part of the reason for bringing this up.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let's see where it
3	goes. I mean, the weight to be accorded it is is
4	not too heavy in light of the fact of I mean, in
5	light of the fact that this really was not covered on
6	cross. On the other hand, I want a I want a full
7	record here, so I'll let you proceed.
8	MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: To a degree. So I'm
LO	overruling your objection, Mr. Shook.
L1	BY MR. PRICE:
L2	Q I'm going to hand you pages 97 through 120
13	of SFUSD Exhibit Number 42. I believe in the binder
L4	that the Court has there's only an excerpt, which we
L5	produced in the interest of
L6	JUDGE SIPPEL: SFUSD 47?
L7	MR. PRICE: I'm sorry. Did I say
L8	something else?
L9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I thought you said 42.
20	MR. PRICE: Oh. On mine I read the 7 as
21	a 2. You're right, Exhibit 47.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I see. I do have a

copy of page 97, but then it jumps to 120. 1 2 MR. PRICE: I have it here. 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: While you're looking for 4 that, can I -- oh, you've got it? I was going to ask 5 anybody at counsel table on the SFUSD side, is there 6 a way of -- is there a way of reconstructing dates for 7 those undated series of e-mails that went back and forth? 8 9 MR. PRICE: In the direct testimony we 10 tried, where there was an embedded date in the 11 The witness can probably better explain to 12 you why some of these don't have a date on them. 13 These were e-mails that were rescued or restored so to 14 speak from her hard drive, which -- which became 15 corrupted and died. 16 And what was saved typically didn't have the date line, but oftentimes there was a reply to an 17 18 e-mail that did have an embedded date. And so we tried to recreate that as best we could in the direct 19 20 testimony. MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I think the record 21 22 will be clear enough.

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. 2 MR. SHOOK: As Mr. Price said, there are 3 embedded dates. Where there aren't dates at the top, 4 you can generally make out when the e-mail or e-mail 5 series was sent. JUDGE SIPPEL: If you look hard enough, 6 7 you can find it, huh? 8 MR. SHOOK: Yes. 9 MS. LEAVITT: But they're also -- just for 10 the completeness, Your Honor, there are also some e-11 mails that don't have embedded dates in them. 12 is -- you are correct that there are some undated e-mails. 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we reconstructed a 14 15 bit by going into a discovery document in terms of 16 when the -- when we figured the Sanchez one was, and 17 we did some figuring around in terms of when Jackie Wright -- we kind of -- I mean, there aren't going to 18 be too many documents like that, are there, that we 19 20 have --MR. PRICE: No. There's just that series 21 22 of e-mails. And to the extent that some are lacking

1	dates, I don't think that the date is as critical as
2	the point that was trying to be expressed with the
3	testimony, was that during this period of May 2001 to
4	the time the Sanchez law firm was let go, there was a
5	large number of correspondence from Ms. Sanchez and
6	Ms. Wright to the Sanchez law firm saying
7	THE WITNESS: Ms. Sawaya.
8	MR. PRICE: Ms. Sawaya saying, "What's
9	going on?" trying to establish some followup with
_0	them. The significance was the probative value was
-1	the repeated contacts, not the exact dates that they
.2	were sent. And so to the extent we were able to get
_3	the exact date on a few, we did our best.
_4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, maybe it's
L5	just a proclivity that I have to want to know times,
16	dates, that kind of thing. If I need more at some
L 7	point down the road, I'll ask for it.
18	Okay. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
L9	BY MR. PRICE:
20	Q Ms. Sawaya, can you tell me what
21	Exhibit 47 at page 97 through 120 is?
22	A That's a copy of our summer quarter

1	program guide, and the program guide is sent to
2	members of KALW and distributed throughout the city.
3	Q And did you prepare the manager's notes on
4	page 3?
5	A I always do, yes.
6	Q What's the purpose of that?
7	A To inform our listeners about the
8	programming changes or anything that's going on at the
9	station.
10	MR. SHOOK: Before you go on, could you
11	identify which year?
12	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. This one is
13	July/August/September 2004.
L4	BY MR. PRICE:
15	Q And can you identify in this document this
16	the programs of most significance to the local
17	community, including the programs, if any, targeted
18	specifically towards students, families of students?
19	A Well, those are two different things. The
20	programs with regard to students are really more
21	initiatives on how we can begin to engage the students
22	of SFUSD with the radio station.

So while we do air the school lunch menu in both Morning Edition and All Things Considered, there has been three or four initiatives that I've been able to create with regard to students at SFUSD. One was the live broadcast of the back-to-school rally. The second one was called the Sanchez Elementary School Project, and that was -- ended up to be a documentary called Be Sound. That was a fivepart half-hour series, and it engaged school site council members, parents, and students, and it actually won an award, a national award.

Most recently we completed the Next Generation Project, not to be confused with CPB's Next Generation Project. We completed the Next Generation Project with National Public Radio. That was funded by RTNDA, Radio and Television News Association Directors.

And what we did was we -- we got a grant from RTNDA, and we selected five students from the high school that we reside in. And NPR came out and they brought us five very seasoned journalists. This went on throughout a week, and we trained -- we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

matched up a student with a journalist, and they went out into the field, they did field recording, they came back to the station.

Some of the staff at the station taught them some of the basic techniques of editing, and then they created a documentary called My Neighborhood: Visitation Valley, which we aired on KALW and I also distributed to the licensee.

Now, as to the other part of the question, I believe which is, what are the most favored programs that are local, speak to local issues, and are what I would say beloved, if I could assume to talk for the listeners. I can quickly talk about, starting on Sunday, Invisible Ink, which is a spoken word program, basically for young adults, pretty much done by somebody who is about 26 years old, and it's readings and a little like This American Life.

The Human Chorus is a half-hour documentary program about famous bits of music and what's the history behind that. Then we started Writer's Voice Radio, and that's a collaboration with a local independent bookstore and a nonprofit called

1 Science Interchange. And that really -- we go out and 2 we record readings by emerging authors, and then that's edited down to 20 minutes. 3 4 then bring on other independent 5 booksellers to talk about what's flying off their shelves. It's kind of a one on one. And then, we do 6 7 a calendar of what are the readings at various 8 independent booksellers throughout the Bay Area. 9 One of our daily shows that we've started 10 -- and considering our budget is not that big, it's 11 quite remarkable and very well loved, is a daily callin show Monday through Friday called Your Call. 12 right now it's with Laura Flanders, and it used to be 13 14 with Faraih Chadyah. That's the woman here. 15 And, actually, Faraih just got recruited by National Public Radio to be one of the hosts on 16 News and Notes with Ed Gordon. And, actually, it was 17 Dr. Ackerman who made me see that as a positive rather 18 than a negative. 19 Philosophy Talk -- that's wildly popular. 20 That's a collaboration we do with Stanford University, 21 and this is the chair -- with the Chair Emeritus of 22

the Philosophy Department at Stanford. Modeled a little bit like Call Talk where people call in and ask philosophical questions. There's usually a theme, some kind of ethical theme about ethics, and they bring on a guest, and then it's a call-in show as well.

And there is what they call the conundrum, which is they try to solve a conundrum that's pushed to them by listeners. They put it out one week, and then the next week they read the e-mails that come in and who actually solved the conundrum.

Ongoing -- I did not start it -- City Visions, and, of course, Your Legal Rights with Chuck Finney. He is the Assistant District Attorney for San Mateo County. And we -- Chuck does what he calls a call-in night for lawyers. And what you can do is off air you call a different number, and you can get free legal advice from a set of lawyers. And he's actually -- that used to happen just once a month, but now it's happening twice a month.

Also, one of the first programs I started was UpFront. That just won an award from American